

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,186	09/26/2003	Michael Thomas Greene	51249/RAG/Z74	9829
23363 7590 09/21/2007 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			EXAMINER	
			MURRAY, DANIEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/672,186	GREENE, MICHAEL THOMAS		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Daniel Murray	2143		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23JU</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro	·		
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23JUL2007 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/672,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 23JUL2007. Claims 1-6 are now pending in the present application. This Action is made FINAL.

## **Drawings**

2. The replacement drawing sheets received on 23JUL2007 are accepted by the Examiner.

## Claim Objections

- 3. While Applicant has addressed the Examiner's objections (except the objection to claim 3) in the Remarks/Arguments section of the amendment they are not reflected in the amended claims, as such the claim objections still stand.
- 4. Claims 2-5 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- a) On **line 1** of **claims 2-5**, replace "A" with --The-- before "method" in order to provide proper antecedent basis for "method according to".
- b) On **line 2** of **claim 4**, "shared boundaries" lack proper antecedent basis.

  Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,186

Art Unit: 2143

Page 3

- 6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andreev et al. (US Patent Publication # US 2001/0018759 A1).
- a) Consider claim 1, Andreev et al. clearly show and disclose, a method of determining the routing (figure2, abstract, paragraph [0002], paragraph [0088], paragraph [0091], paragraph [0096]) of interconnected regions (figure 11a, figure 11b, figure 11c, figure 11d, abstract, paragraph [0148]) of a routing problem by considering all required connections in parallel (figure2, figure 3, abstract, paragraph [0033], paragraph [0034], paragraph [0088], paragraph [0091], paragraph [0096]) and only attempting to resolve crossing conflicts only (figure 2, abstract, paragraph [0088], paragraph [0092], paragraph [0145], paragraph [0146], paragraph [0187], paragraph [0188], paragraph [0190], paragraph [0211], paragraph [0215], paragraph [0277]) when at least some contextual information about a region and the paths that cross in the region has been assembled (figure2, figure3, figure 8h, figure 9, abstract, paragraph [0089], paragraph [0102], paragraph [0124], paragraph [0143], paragraph [0187], paragraph [0210], paragraph [214]).
- b) Consider claim 2, and as applied to claim 1 above, Andreev et al. clearly show and disclose, the method according to claim 1, wherein resolving of crossing conflicts is attempted only (figure 2, abstract, paragraph [0088], paragraph [0092], paragraph [0145], paragraph [0146], paragraph [0187], paragraph [0188], paragraph [0190], paragraph [0211], paragraph [0215], paragraph [0277]) when all possible relevant contextual information has been assembled (figure2, figure3, figure 8h, figure 9, abstract, paragraph [0089], paragraph [0102], paragraph [0124], paragraph [0143], paragraph [0187], paragraph [0210], paragraph [214]).
- c) Consider **claim 3**, and **as applied to claim 1 above**, Andreev et al. clearly show and disclose, the method according to claim 1, comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/672,186

Art Unit: 2143

Page 4

- (a) defining, for each set of regions to be connected (figure 11a, figure 11b, figure 11c, figure 11d, abstract, paragraph [0148]), routing which represents a suitable manner of connecting them (figure2, abstract, paragraph [0002], paragraph [0088], paragraph [0091], paragraph [0096], paragraph [0190]), respecting only those crossing conflicts (paragraph [0145], paragraph [0146], paragraph [0187], paragraph [0188], paragraph [0211], paragraph [0215], paragraph [0277]) which have been explicitly registered with the set currently being considered (figure2, abstract, paragraph [0088], paragraph [0190]);
- (b) collating all such proposed routing and resolving any crossing conflicts (paragraph [0145], paragraph [0146], paragraph [0187], paragraph [0188], paragraph [0211], paragraph [0215], paragraph [0277]) in a symmetric manner (figure 2, abstract, paragraph [0033], paragraph [0089], paragraph [0091], paragraph [0096]);
- (c) registering such crossing conflicts (paragraph [0145], paragraph [0146], paragraph [0187], paragraph [0188], paragraph [0211], paragraph [0215], paragraph [0277]) with the sets of regions which will be required to respect them on the next pass (figure 2, abstract, paragraph [0033], paragraph [0088]);
- (d) repeating steps (a) to (c) until a sufficient completion and quality of routing solution is attained (paragraph [0092]); and
- (e) converting the routing into suitable geometric representations of routing paths in a way which takes all desired routing into account symmetrically and simultaneously (figure 2, figure 3, figure 9, figure 10, figure 11a, abstract, paragraph [0033], paragraph [0038], paragraph [0098], paragraph [0095], paragraph [0096]).
- d) Consider **claim 4**, and **as applied to claim 3 above**, Andreev et al. clearly show and disclose, the method according to claim 3, in which the regions are polygons (figure 11a, figure 11b,

Art Unit: 2143

figure 11c) and the shared boundaries are edges (figure 11a, figure 11b, figure 11c, figure 11d, paragraph [0148]).

- e) Consider **claim 5**, and **as applied to claim 1 above**, Andreev et al. clearly show and disclose, the method according to claim 1, wherein the interconnected regions (figure 11a, figure 11b, figure 11c, figure 11d, abstract, paragraph [0148]) are regions of an electrical circuit (figure 1, paragraph [0015], paragraph [0033], paragraph [0034]).
- f) Consider claim 6, Andreev et al. clearly show and disclose, a computer-implemented method (paragraph [0034], paragraph [0329]) of determining the routing (figure2, abstract, paragraph [0002], paragraph [0088], paragraph [0091], paragraph [0096]) of interconnected regions of a routing problem (figure 11a, figure 11b, figure 11d, abstract, paragraph [0148]), the interconnected regions (figure 11a, figure 11b, figure 11c, figure 11d, abstract, paragraph [0148]) being regions of an electrical circuit (figure 1, paragraph [0015], paragraph [0033], paragraph [0034]), by considering all required connections in parallel (figure2, figure 3, abstract, paragraph [0033], paragraph [0034], paragraph [0088], paragraph [0091], paragraph [0096]) and attempting to resolve conflicts only (figure 2, abstract, paragraph [0088], paragraph [0092], paragraph [0145], paragraph [0190]) when at least some contextual information about a region and the paths which cross there has been assembled (figure2, figure3, abstract, paragraph [0089], paragraph [0102], paragraph [0102], paragraph [0124]).

Art Unit: 2143

## Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 24JUL2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues that Andreev et al. does not teach "...attempting to resolve crossing conflicts only when at least some contextual information about a region and the paths that cross in the region has been assembled."
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's argument, Andreev et al. clearly show and disclose "...attempting to resolve crossing conflicts (intersections)(figure 2, abstract, paragraph [0088], paragraph [0092], paragraph [0145], paragraph [0146], paragraph [0187], paragraph [0188], paragraph [0190], paragraph [0211], paragraph [0215], paragraph [0277]) only when at least some contextual information about a region and the paths that cross in the region has been assembled (data about paths (contextual information) in a region is clearly gathered before intersections (crossing conflicts) are resolved)(figure2, figure3, figure 8h, figure 9, abstract, paragraph [0089], paragraph [0102], paragraph [0124], paragraph [0143], paragraph [0187], paragraph [0210], paragraph [214])."

### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

Art Unit: 2143

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Andreev et al. (US Patent # US 6,324,674 B2) disclose: "Method and Apparatus for Parallel Simultaneous Global and Detail Routing"
  - Gasanov et al. (US Patent # US 6,253,363 B1) disclose: "Net Routing Using Basis Element Decomposition"
  - ➤ Pavisic et al. (US Patent # US 6,269,469 B1) disclose: "Method and Apparatus for Parallel Routing Locking Mechanism"
  - Raspopovic et al. (US Patent # US 6,230,306 B1) disclose: "Method and Apparatus for Minimizing of Process Defects While Routing"
  - Raspopovic et al. (US Patent # US 6,247,167 B1) disclose: "Method and Apparatus for Parallel Steiner Tree Routing"
  - Raspopovic et al. (US Patent # US 6,260,183 B1) disclose: "Method and Apparatus for Coarse Global Routing"
  - Raspopovic et al. (US Patent # US 6,289,495 B1) disclose: "Method and Apparatus for Local Optimization of the Global Routing"
  - Scepanovic et al. (US Patent # 6,154,874) disclose: "Memory-Saving Method and Apparatus for Partitioning High Fan-Out Nets"
  - Scepanovic et al. (US Patent # US 6,175,950 B1) disclose: "Method and Apparatus for Hierarchical Global Routing Descend"
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Murray whose telephone number is (571)-270-1773. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 0800-1700 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571)-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,186

Art Unit: 2143

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DCM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100